UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/756,970	01/13/2004	Kevin T. Foley	MSDI-223/PC444.06	3770
52196 MEDTRONIC	7590 03/24/200	EXAMINER		
	ohnson - IP Legal Dep	HOFFMAN, MARY C		
MEMPHIS, TN	/ -		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3733	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/24/2009	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/756,970	FOLEY ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	MARY HOFFMAN	3733	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 February 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	, on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the con	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); w); eer form for appeal by materially rec	TE below);	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 66-70 and 94-103 vertical canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	21. See attached Notice of Non-Con See Continuation Sheet.	mpliant Amendment (	,
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 66-70 and 94-103. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 55-63,65,68 and 74. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 71-73.		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	I sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. $\square$ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☑ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Eduardo C. Robert/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3733	/Mary C. Hoffman/ Examiner, Art Unit 3733		

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Claims 66-67, 69 and 94-103 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Regarding claim 59 and dependents thereof, Applicant argues that it would not be obvious to construct the device of Moll with only two projections, since a number of projections greater than two are shown in the Figures of Moll (see e.g. FIG 10D and FIG. 12G). Moll states in col. 18, lines 61-end, and at the beginning of col. 19, that the "maintainer" comprises a plurality of strips, or can be made by making a number of longitudinal cuts almost from end-to-end- of a tube of suitable malleable material. The examiner maintains that it would be obvious to construct the device of Moll with only two projection (and two corresponding slits). It is noted that Moll does not require anywhere in the disclosure that a certain number of strip or slits are needed for the device to be operable.

Regarding Applicant's other argument, that Moll does not disclose a device with "at least one of said longitudinally extending slots having a narrowed area and a widened area extending axially from said narrowed area, said widened area having a greater width relative to said narrowed area to provide said flexible strip of material with a narrowed width which defines a flexion point to control outward deformation of said flexible strip of material to said outwardly buckled configuration," this argument is persuasive and the rejections of claims 66-70 and 94-103 under Moll (U.S. Patent 5,522,790) have been withdrawn.